

Major Projects Challenge Fund – Scoring

Attachment C

Criteria	Possible Points	Score	Rationale
a. Is it an SPR owned facility	0	Yes/No	Must be yes to qualify for funding
b. Is it an identified capital need at a park or park facility that is lacking in funding; is it a large scale project that may be funded from a variety of public and private funding sources with a total construction cost estimated to be in excess of \$2 million?	0	Yes/No	Must be yes to qualify for funding
c. Match	10-30		30% match = 10 points 50% match = 20 points 70% match = 30 points
d. Community Support	20		<p>High (20 pts): The project is consistent with an SPR Parks Approved Plan such as the 2017 Parks and Open Space Plan or is identified in a broader City of Seattle Plan such as the North Downtown Park Plan, Ballard Open Space Plan, or Livable South Downtown Planning Study.</p> <p>Medium (10 pts): The project demonstrates a high degree of neighborhood support or involvement as demonstrated through a public review process such as Letters of support from: Neighborhood or Community Council, District or Advisory Council or other organization representing a neighborhood that is recognized by the City’s Department of Neighborhoods.</p> <p>Low (0 pts): The project is not identified in any approved plans and has little or no documented neighborhood support.</p>
e. Located in an underserved and/or under represented community	30		<p>High (30 pts): Scores 7-8 on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.</p> <p>Medium (20 pts): Scores 4-6 on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.</p> <p>Low (10 pts): Scores 1-3 on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.</p>

Criteria	Possible Points	Score	Rationale
			Zero (0 pts): Scores 0 or below on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.
f. Restoration or significantly extend the life of an existing park or facility	10		<p>High (10 pts): The project repairs, replaces or upgrades aging infrastructure or facilities, extending their life at least 20 years.</p> <p>Medium (7 pts): The project repairs, replaces or upgrades aging infrastructure or facilities, extending their life at least 10 years.</p> <p>Low (3 pts): The project repairs, replaces or upgrades aging infrastructure or facilities, extending their life at least 3 years.</p> <p>Zero (0 pts): No Restoration or no extension of life of current park or facility</p>
g. Reduce maintenance and operation costs	10		<p>High (10 pts): No net increase in the City’s maintenance and operating costs.</p> <p>Medium (7 pts): The project increases the City’s maintenance and operating costs and a Business, non-profit or existing approved community group has agreed to take on all maintenance responsibilities for a period of at least 5 years.</p> <p>Low (3 pts): The project has minor increase to the City’s maintenance and operating costs and a Business, non-profit or existing approved community group has agreed to take on some maintenance responsibilities for a period of at least 5 years with a net result being reduction of maintenance costs for the Department.</p> <p>Zero (0 pts): The project will significantly increase Maintenance and Operating Costs.</p>
h. Community benefit	20		<p>Projects which will be used by the greater community and not just a limited audience will score higher. Include user data such as who would use the improved facility and how does the facility serve typically underserved/underrepresented communities now and/or in the future.</p> <p>Excellent (20 pts), Very Good (17 pts), Good (14 pts), Adequate (10 pts), Questionable (6 pts), Unacceptable (0 pts)</p>

Criteria	Possible Points	Score	Rationale
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project includes meaningful effort to create community participation. • Clear community partnerships and support. • Reaches diverse audience. • Demonstrates significant impact for community served. (Cultural, Economic, Educational...etc.) • Evidence that the facility is well used by the community.
Maximum points	120		